VanEck®

Making Sense of the Mega Merger Mania

By Joe Foster, Portfolio Manager

VanEck - Global Gold UCITS

USD R1 Acc: IE00BYXQSC06

USD I1 Acc: IE00BYXQSB98

Fund Review

VanEc - Global Gold UCITS Class R1 shares returned 0.14% for the one month period ending 28 February 2019, while the NYSE Arca Gold Miners Net Total Return Index (GDMNTR)[1] returned -1.58% for the same period.

Average Annual Total Returns (%) as of 28 January 2019

	1 Mo†	YTD	1 Yr	3 Yr	5 Yr	Life
USD R1 Acc (Inception 15/11/12)	0.14	8.96	-1.22	6.80	-3.66	-10.14
USD I1 Acc (Inception 15/11/12)	0.20	9.11	-0.33	7.76	-2.79	-9.33
GDMNTR Index	-1.58	5.78	5.32	6.01	-1.94	-9.79

†Monthly returns are not annualized. Please note that precious metals prices may swing sharply in response to cyclical economic conditions, political events or the monetary policies of various countries.

Past performance of the sub-fund is no guarantee of future performance. Any performance presented herein is for illustrative purposes only. Historical information is not indicative of future results; current data may differ from data quoted. Performance information does not take into account the commissions and costs incurred on the issue and redemption of units. Performance information is presented net of fees, but gross of tax liabilities. Each index listed is unmanaged and the returns include the reinvestment of all dividends, but do not reflect the payment of transaction costs, fees or expenses that are associated with an investment in any fund. An index's performance is not illustrative of a Fund's performance. You cannot invest in an index.

Market Review

The gold price had advanced in January with the U.S. Federal Reserve's dovish response to the December stock market volatility. This provided the momentum for gold to move to a new yearly high of $1,346 per ounce on 20 February. Gold then pulled back to finish the month with a loss of $7.90 (0.6%) at $1,313.31. In early March, gold has fallen to the $1,290 level. Following gold's strong 2019 performance, it is too early to tell if this pullback is a consolidation within an uptrend or a return to the sideways range-bound trading that has characterized the price pattern since 2013.

The strong central bank buying that characterized 2018 seems to be continuing. China purchased gold for the second consecutive month, buying about 12 tonnes in January. Azerbaijan has decided to nearly double its gold holdings to 100 tonnes. Meanwhile, Romania has announced plans to move its 103 tonnes of gold reserves from London to in-country vaults.

For the month, gold stocks slightly underperformed gold. The NYSE Arca Gold Miners Index declined 1.58%, while the MVIS Global Junior Gold Miners Index[2] fell 1.23%.

Market Outlook

The stock market has become a headwind for gold as the S&P® 500 Index is once again poised to make a run at new all-time highs. Complacency is creeping back, which weighs on safe haven[3] investments. Each Fed Chairman since Alan Greenspan has been accused of protecting the stock market with monetary policies. Chairman Jerome Powell was thought to be more hawkish and immune to the whims of the market as he took office. However, the Fed's policy pause in response to stock market volatility in December has shown Powell to be as sensitive to the markets as his predecessors. David Rosenberg of Gluskin Sheff[4] believes the proliferation of leveraged exchange traded funds (ETFs), quantitative models, algorithmic trading, and momentum investing are all perpetuated by central bank suppression of risk premia, creating artificial market conditions where pricing is divorced from fundamentals. Ten years after the financial crisis, and economies are so fragile that central banks are still being called to the rescue.

Weakness in housing, automobiles, retail, and manufacturing combined with the lagged effects of the Fed's tightening in 2018 could again weigh on the stock market in 2019. Another selloff may be the catalyst gold needs to break through its price range.

Examining the Potential Newmont/Barrick Merger

Merger and acquisition activity has now reached the ultimate level in the gold industry. It started with the September announcement of the friendly Randgold Resources/Barrick Gold (6.3% of net assets) merger, which was essentially a reverse takeover that left Randgold's management in charge of the new Barrick. Then, in January, Newmont (5.8% of net assets) announced a friendly takeover of Goldcorp (1.9% of net assets), which goes to a shareholder vote in early April. In each case, the managements of Randgold and Newmont believe they can do a better job of creating value than the previous managements of their respective takeover targets.

Barrick and Newmont have spent the last five years downsizing by disposing of non-core properties, streamlining management, and strengthening their balance sheets. Now, in a stark reversal of strategy, they want to grow through mega-mergers. Newmont's management style is akin to a modern corporate structure, while Barrick under Randgold is more decentralized and entrepreneurial. Each believes their respective management and assets are superior. We will look for evidence of their success, or lack thereof, in unlocking value with their quarterly reporting. In the fullness of time we will find out if their focus on shareholder returns, operating discipline, and innovation are enough to ensure success, and whether one is more effective than the other. We hope competition in the free market brings out the best in both.

In addition to the considerable skills needed to manage so many mines, it may be geologically impossible to sustain a gold company that is as large as these companies are becoming. Absent mergers, the size of a gold company is fundamentally limited by geology. The tier-one properties (with low-cost reserves of over five million ounces) that make up the core of the supermajors portfolios are freaks of nature and extremely rare. Gold deposits are generally limited in size and often discontinuous, with chemistry and rock conditions that can be challenging to manage. Companies have been searching for tier-one gold deposits for nearly 200 years and the surface of the planet has been thoroughly explored. They must search deeper with less success as discoveries become fewer every year.

Now, coinciding with the BMO Global Metals and Mining Conference on 25 February, Barrick announced a hostile no-premium bid for Newmont that is contingent on cancelling the Goldcorp deal. Barrick believes it can unlock value in Newmont that would not surface if the Goldcorp transaction is allowed to proceed. This would create a super-duper major the likes of which have never been seen before in this business. Shareholders will soon decide whether Newmont is better off with Goldcorp or Barrick.

Barrick figures that roughly two-thirds of the added value of a merger will come from unitizing their Nevada operations. Newmont and Barrick combined produce about four million ounces per year from the state of Nevada, one of the most prolific gold regions in the world. This comes from operations scattered within a 100 x 100 mile area centered on the Interstate 80 corridor between Winnemucca and Carlin, Nevada. Within Nevada, Barrick has higher production and lower costs, while Newmont has more processing infrastructure. Without Nevada, most of the rationale for the merger disappears.

While we do not know whether Barrick's bid for Newmont will be successful, it does focus attention on the potential gains that unitizing Nevada would generate for both companies. My experience as a geologist in Nevada, and knowledge of the two companies, suggests there is significant value to be gained from merging their Nevada operations. However, shareholders do not have the data, resources, or technical expertise to comprehensively evaluate such a colossal project. We must trust managements within the companies we own to do this work. On 4 March, Newmont released a Nevada joint venture term sheet in response to Barrick's hostile offer. Barrick has not yet responded. If these two adversaries cannot come to terms on Nevada, we call on them to prioritize their shareholder's interests by publishing a joint definitive feasibility study that quantifies this value and articulates plans to unlock it for all to see. Once this is done, the best path forward should become obvious.

Commitment to Juniors

Finally, lost amid all this mega-merger mania are the junior companies at the other end of the spectrum. In this range-bound gold price environment, there is little investor interest in the juniors. However, we continue to maintain a portfolio of junior developers with good projects. Our latest addition is Bellevue Gold (1.0% of net assets), an Australian company with an exciting high-grade discovery that recently surpassed the million ounce resource threshold. In this market, we expect our patience to pay off once investors return to the junior sector.

All company, sector, and sub-industry weightings as of 28 February 2019 unless otherwise noted.

Nothing in this content should be considered a solicitation to buy or an offer to sell shares of any investment in any jurisdiction where the offer or solicitation would be unlawful under the securities laws of such jurisdiction, nor is it intended as investment, tax, financial, or legal advice. Investors should seek such professional advice for their particular situation and jurisdiction.

[1]NYSE Arca Gold Miners Index (GDMNTR) is a modified market capitalization-weighted index comprised of publicly traded companies involved primarily in the mining for gold. [2]MVIS Global Junior Gold Miners Index (MVGDXJTR) is a rules-based, modified market capitalization-weighted, float-adjusted index comprised of a global universe of publicly traded small- and medium-capitalization companies that generate at least 50% of their revenues from gold and/or silver mining, hold real property that has the potential to produce at least 50% of the company's revenue from gold or silver mining when developed, or primarily invest in gold or silver. [3]A "safe haven" is an investment that is expected to retain or increase in value during times of market turbulence. Safe havens are sought by investors to limit their exposure to losses in the event of market downturns. [4]Gluskin Sheff + Associates Inc., a Canadian independent wealth management firm, manages investment portfolios for high net worth investors, including entrepreneurs, professionals, family trusts, private charitable foundations, and estates.

Any indices listed are unmanaged indices and include the reinvestment of all dividends, but do not reflect the payment of transaction costs, advisory fees or expenses that are associated with an investment in the sub-fund. Certain indices may take into account withholding taxes. An index's performance is not illustrative of a Fund's performance. Indices are not securities in which investments can be made.

This material is for informational/advertisement purposes only and does not constitute any legal or investment advice. It is mainly dedicated to professional investors and is not to be regarded as an offer for the purchase and the sale of the fund's shares. Investors should consult the prospectus and key investor information before subscribing. The prospectus, the key investor information documents and the financial reports can be obtained free of charge from vaneck.com and upon request from VanEck ICAV's registered office and the offices of all local information agents. The documents, except for key investor information, are only available in English. Past performance of the Sub-Fund is no guarantee for future performance.

IMPORTANT INFORMATION - FOR AUTHORIZED USE ONLY

All documents on VanEck ICAV's sub-funds are for informational/advertisement purposes only and do not constitute any legal or investment advice. It is mainly dedicated to professional investors and is not to be regarded as an offer for the purchase and the sale of the fund's shares. Investors should consult the prospectus and key investor information before subscribing. The prospectus, the key investor information documents and the financial reports can be obtained free of charge from vaneck.com and upon request from VanEck ICAV's registered office at 25/28 North Wall Quay, Dublin 1, Ireland and the offices of all local information agents. The documents, except for key investor information, are only available in English. Please read these documents before investing and take note of the risk factors. Note: No guarantee can be provided that the Sub-Funds presented will attain their objectives. The value of an investment may decline as well as increase. All persons interested in investing in one of the Sub-Funds presented are recommended to seek advice from independent legal and tax advisors in order to ascertain whether the investment is appropriate to their own objectives.

FOR AUSTRALIAN WHOLESALE CLIENTS: Van Eck US investment advisers offer investment management services that are regulated by US laws which are different to Australian laws. Such products and services are only available to wholesale clients in Australia as defined in the Corporations Act 2001 (Cth).

For investors in Switzerland: The distribution of Shares of the Fund in Switzerland will be exclusively made to, and directed at, qualified investors (the "Qualified Investors"), as defined in the Swiss Collective Investment Schemes Act of 23 June 2006, as amended ("CISA") and its implementing ordinance. A copy of the latest prospectus, the Key Investor Information Document, the annual report and semi-annual report, if published thereafter can be found on our website www.vaneck.com or can be obtained free of charge from the representative in Switzerland: First Independent Fund Services Ltd, Klausstrasse 33, CH-8008 Zurich, Switzerland. Swiss paying agent: Neue Helvetische Bank AG, Seefeldstrasse 215, CH-8008 Zürich; Place of performance and jurisdiction is at the registered office of the Representative.

In compliance with the relevant provisions of the UCI Law, the Feeder will at all times invest at least 85% of its assets in shares of the Master. The performance of both funds will not be equal, due in particular to costs and expenses incurred by the Feeder, hedging instruments (as applicable) and the Reference Currency of the Share Classes of the Feeder differing from that of the Master.

The portfolio information provided in this document is for illustrative purposes only and does not purport to be recommendation of an investment in, or a comprehensive statement of all of the factors or considerations which may be relevant to an investment in, the referenced securities. Presented information is based on sources and information VanEck considers trustworthy, but such information might be partially incorrect or incomplete. Information presented illustrates the investment process undertaken by the manager in respect of a certain type of investment, but may not be representative of the Lombard Odier - World Gold Expertise Fund ("LOF") past or future portfolio of investments as a whole and it should be understood that they will not of themselves be sufficient to give a clear and balanced view of the investment process undertaken by the manager or of the composition of the investment portfolio of LOF. As the case may be, further information regarding the calculation methodology and the contribution of each holding in the representative account to the overall account's performance can be obtained by LOF or the Management Company.

You can lose money by investing in the Sub-Fund. Any investment in the Sub-Fund should be part of an overall investment program, not a complete program. The Sub-Fund is subject to the risks associated with concentrating its assets in the gold industry, which can be significantly affected by international economic, monetary and political developments. The Sub-Fund's overall portfolio may decline in value due to developments specific to the gold industry. The Sub-Fund's investments in foreign securities involve risks related to adverse political and economic developments unique to a country or a region, currency fluctuations or controls, and the possibility of arbitrary action by foreign governments, or political, economic or social instability. The Sub-Fund is subject to risks associated with investments in Canadian issuers, commodities and commodity-linked derivatives, commodities and commodity-linked derivatives tax, gold-mining industry, derivatives, emerging market securities, foreign currency transactions, foreign securities, other investment companies, management, market, non-diversification, operational, regulatory, small- and medium-capitalization companies and subsidiary risks.

Please see the prospectus and key investor information document for information on these as well as other risk considerations.

VanEck®

25/28 North Wall Quay
Dublin 1 | Ireland
vaneck.com | international@vaneck.com | +35 31 485 4989